

ORDER SHEET**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J) &
The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A).

MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Nilima Roy Chowdhury Vs **The State of West Bengal & Ors...**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">04 04.02.2020</p>	<p>For the petitioner : Mr. S.N. Ray, Learned Advocate.</p> <p>For the respondent : Mr. R.A. Chowdhury, Learned Advocate.</p> <p>The instant MA application has been filed praying for condonation of 9 (nine) years delay to approach this Tribunal. As per the applicant, her father retired in the year 1975 and thereafter he was getting pension till his death on 27.11.1990. Subsequently, the mother of the applicant used to receive Family Pension till her death on 10.09.2006. As the applicant was already above 25 years of age being unmarried daughter at that point of time, she did not got any family pension as per rules. However, according to the applicant, vide Memo dated 29.06.2006, one amendment was made whereby benefit of family pension shall be extended to the widow /divorcee daughter even after attaining the age of 25 years till her remarriage or death subject to the condition that the eligible daughter does not income exceeding Rupees 2,600/- p.m. Therefore, the applicant has approached this Tribunal in the year 2015 praying for</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Nilima Roy Chowdhury

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Ors... .

Case No. MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">04</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">04.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 200px;">Page /2</p>	<p>extension of that benefit.</p> <p>We have heard the parties and perused the records. It is noted that the father of the applicant retired and thereafter died in the year 1990. Memo was issued in the year 2006, wherein the said memo stipulated inter alia :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>“ Memo No. 620-F (Pen) dated 29th June, 2006.</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A question has arisen whether family pension is admissible to the widowed/divorced daughter of a Government employee/pensioner even after her attaining 25 years of age. 2. After careful consideration of the matter, the Governor has been pleased to decide that the benefit of family pension shall be extended to the widowed/divorced daughter of State Government employee/pensioner even after her attaining the age of 25 years till her remarriage or death. This is, however, 	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Nilima Roy Chowdhury

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Ors... .

Case No. MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">04</p> <hr/> <p>04.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Page /3</p>	<p>subject to the condition that the benefit should not be admissible when the eligible daughter has an income exceeding Rs. 2600/- per month from employment in Government, Semi government, Statutory Bodies, Corporation, Undertakings, Private Sector, self employment etc.</p> <p>3. Formal amendment of the relevant rule will be made in due course.</p> <p>4. This order will be effective from the date of issue of this memorandum.”</p> <p>From the above, it is clear that the above Memo is effective from the date i.e. 29.06.2006 only. However, as the said memo does not have any retrospective effect and admittedly father of the applicant retired in the year 1975 and died in the year 1990, therefore, the said Memo is also not applicable in this case. Further the applicant has approached this Tribunal after 9 years. Therefore, admittedly the instant applicant is hopelessly barred by limitation even the application for condonation of delay has simply stated that she was not aware of any proceeding which is valid ground for such condonation of</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Nilima Roy Chowdhury

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Ors... .

Case No. MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">04 ----- 04.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SC</p>	<p>delay. As we do not find any merit in the case, therefore, no question of condonation of delay in filing the application is found. Accordingly, both the OA & MA are dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J) </p>	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Nilima Roy Chowdhury

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Ors... .

Case No. MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
P/4 02 <hr/> 15.02.2019		

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Nilima Roy Chowdhury

Vs.The State of West Bengal & Ors... .

Case No. MA-79 of 2016 (OA-656 of 2015)

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">02</p> <hr/> <p>15.02.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sc</p>		